

Appl. No. 10/700,268
Amdt. dated June 15, 2005
Reply to Office action of June 6, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has indicated that the above referred to application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters stating on page 2, second paragraph of the action. Applicant has by the amended claims set forth above has addressed the issues by canceling claim 3 which is redundant with respect to claim 1, amending claim 5 to "an eccentricity" from "the eccentricity" as set forth in the action.

Applicant, however, cannot address the issue the Examiner referred to stating that the use of "means" should not be used in the specification and correction is advised since applicant and applicant's attorney of record cannot find the use of the word "means" in the specification.

However, applicant's attorney of record agrees to the correction of the deletion of the word "means" in the specification if it does appear by Examiner's amendment to alleviate the problem if it exists as suggested by the Examiner. It is therefore respectfully requested that the application and claims are in condition for allowance based on the above referred to amendment and the same is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles P. Keip

By


R.C. Harpman, Reg. No. 29,802

(330) 758-7505